

UNITED STATES DAARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/424,705

Ŧ

06/02/00

LITTLE

Y

35280047US00

HM22/1206

ALBERT P HALLUIN HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE 1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW BOX NO 34 WASHINGTON DC 20004-2402 ROARK, J
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

12/06/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	I A P4' N		
Office Action Summary	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
	09/424,705	LITTLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jessica H. Roark	1644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	<u>June 2000</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) \boxtimes Claims <u>1-11</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disa _l	pproved.	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applica	ition No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	estic phority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	119(e).	
Attachment(s)			
15) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) 🔲 Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152) b comply with sequence rules	



Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

Sequence Compliance

1. This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth on the attached Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures.

Applicant is reminded to amend the specification (including the Brief Description of Drawings) and claims as appropriate to reflect compliance with the Sequence Rules.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. For examination purposes:
 - A) "Use" claims have been interpreted as "method of use". Applicant is reminded that "Use" claims which do not set forth any steps involved in the process are subject to alternate grounds of rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101 and 112 (see MPEP 2173.05 (q)).
 - B) Claim 11 recites both a method of diagnosis and a method of treatment. These inventions require different process steps to accomplish different endpoints and so are patentably distinct.

Therefore, the restriction has been set forth for each method as a separate group, irrespective of the format of the claims.

3. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

- 4. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.
 - I. Claims 1-9, drawn to an OKT3 monoclonal antibody characterized by an exchange of cysteine at H100A and methods of producing said antibody.
 - II. Claim 10, drawn to a method of use of the cysteine exchanged antibody in eliminating transplant rejection.
 - III. Claim 11, drawn to a method of use of the cysteine exchanged antibody in tumor diagnosis.
 - IV. Claim 11, drawn to a method of use of the cysteine exchanged antibody in tumor treatment.
- 5. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1644

The invention of Group I was found to have no special technical feature that defined the contribution over the prior art of Kroon et al. (Pharmaceutical Res. 9:1386-1393 1992)(see entire document) and Senoo et al (US Pat. No. 5,852,177) (see entire document).

Kroon et al teach that OKT3 is inactivated while in storage as a consequence of formation of cross-links between heavy chain in the region of 99-121 (page 1391-1392 bridging paragraph), and that using site directed mutagenesis to synthesize analogues that are more stable would be beneficial for the development as therapeutics (page 1392, last paragraph).

Senoo et al. teach that formation of intra and interchain disulfide bonds is detrimental to protein stability (column 1 to column 2, bridging paragraph) and the conversion of a cysteine to serine to eliminate this problem and improve protein stability (e.g., column 7, lines 55-57).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the teachings of Senoo et al. to the teachings of Kroon et al. to obtain an OKT3 antibody in which heavy chain cysteine 100 was replaced with serine in order to produce a more stable OKT3 antibody. Site-directed mutagenesis to produce such a molecule was well within the skill of the ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made. Therefore, the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Since Applicant's inventions do not contribute a special technical feature when viewed over the prior art they do not have a single general inventive concept and so lack unity of invention.

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica Roark, whose telephone number is (703) 605-1209. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 8:00 to 4:30. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached at (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

Jessica Roark, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600 November 30, 2000 PHILLIP GAMBEL, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECH CIPTURE 1600
12/4/00

	T			
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)		
Notice to Comply	09/424,705	LITTLE ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jessica H. Roark	1644		
NOTICE TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENT APPLICATIONS CONTAINING NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE DISCLOSURES				
Applicant must file the items indicated below within the time period set the Office action to which the Notice is attached to avoid abandonment under 35 U.S.C. § 133 (extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a)).				
The nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosure contained in this application does not comply with the requirements for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.821 - 1.825 for the following reason(s):				
1. This application clearly fails to comply with the redirected to the final rulemaking notice published at the effective filing date is on or after July 1, 1998, set 1998) and 1211 OG 82 (June 23, 1998).	55 FR 18230 (May 1, 1990), and 1	1114 OG 29 (May	15, 1990). If	
2. This application does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c).				
3. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has not been submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).				
4. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has been submitted. However, the content of the computer readable form does not comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.822 and/or 1.823, as indicated on the attached copy of the marked -up "Raw Sequence Listing."				
5. The computer readable form that has been filed with this application has been found to be damaged and/or unreadable as indicated on the attached CRF Diskette Problem Report. A Substitute computer readable form must be submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.825(d).				
6. The paper copy of the "Sequence Listing" is not the same as the computer readable from of the "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).				
7. Other:				
Applicant Must Provide: ☑ An initial or substitute computer readable form (CRF	F) copy of the "Sequence Listing".			
	Listing", as well as an amendmen	t directing its entry	y into the	
A statement that the content of the paper and complete no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) or 1.8	outer readable copies are the san 21(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.8	ne and, where app 825(d).	olicable, include	
For questions regarding compliance to these re	equirements, please contact	:		
For Rules Interpretation, call (703) 308-4216				
For CRF Submission Help, call (703) 308-4212 PatentIn Software Program Support				
Technical Assistance	703-287-0200			
To Purchase PatentIn Software				

PLEASE RETURN A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH YOUR REPLY